

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Al	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/624,638	07/23/2003	Takeshi Hamada	240570US3	6891
	22850	7590 08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			PAHNG, JASON Y	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		3725	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/624,638	HAMADA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jason Y. Pahng	3725

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
20.0,0 mor mig or an appear and	Examiner	Artonit							
	Jason Y. Pahng	3725							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	HE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hocause						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below),  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	g the issues for						
appeal; and/or									
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a									
NOTE: Although a few of the 35 U.S.C. 112 issues are addressed, the amendment does not address most of the significant major 35 U.S.C. 112 issues and do not simplify the issues for appeal. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling								
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  13. Other:									
	4	グラグ							
	SUPE	derris H. Banks Rvisory patent ex							
		OUNDLOOK OFFITED	0700						

FECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Examiner incorrectly assumed that any region inherently has "an infinite number of area surfaces." However, that is not true. Examiner is merely pointing out that a region has many areas with many different corresponding length. For example, Virgina region has many areas of counties with different length. For another, a cube crushing region might have six surface areas.

Figure 1 of reference Savolainen completely identifies and fully discloses all features claimed. Therefore, all claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 in view of Savolainen.

Additionally, with regard to the 35 U.S.C. 112 issues, please refer to the last Office action. All claims are hopelessly indefinite. As one example, Applicant argues that the direction of the length of the first area is the direction of movement of the crush material. However, what is the single direction of movement of the crush material from an inlet to an outlet? Does the crush material move in a straight line? Does it curve? Is the direction related to an angle of the inlet? Is the direction related to a combination of the angle of the inlet and the outlet?